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Abstract

Background: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a new coronavirus, was diagnosed in China in December 2019. Around the globe, a total of 71,429 were infected up to February 17, 2020, with 98.9% of cases in China. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) characterized the COVID-19 as ‘pandemic’. Rapid positive worldwide incidence was the motivation behind this study to investigate the incidence and mortality globally.

Methods: We used the data published by the WHO until March 9, 2020. Non-parametric tests and change point analysis were used for inferences.

Results: Change point analysis for Iran and China and the world excluding China for the first 20 days revealed around 78, 195 and 2 further new cases per day, respectively. Italy had a big jump in incidence on the 36th day. Similarly, a sharp rise of positive cases was reported for the world on the 35th day. China successfully controlled the ascending reports of incidence on the 23rd day. Mortality in China and the world were almost similar for the first 20 days. There was an ascending incidence trend with two change points in Italy (30th and 36th days) and one change point in Iran on the 17th day. Mortality in the world jumped remarkably after day 42 with an estimation of almost more than 25 deaths per day.

Conclusion: The incidence of COVID-19 varied by regions; however, after March 11, it became ‘pandemic’. It was observed that after about 6 days with an emergence of sharp increase in incidences, there would be a mutation in mortality rate. On the other hand, the importance of ‘on-time’ quarantine programs in controlling this virus was confirmed.
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Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a new coronavirus, was diagnosed in Wuhan, Hubei province, China, in December 2019.1 This novel virus rapidly spread to all provinces of China and 25 countries in the Asia-Pacific Region, North America, Europe, and South America within 1 month of its discovery.2

The origin of SARS-CoV-2 is assumed to be from bats; it moved to intermediate hosts before transmitting to humans, resulting in a pneumonia which is similar to the other coronaviruses such as the SARS-CoV and the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-associated coronavirus (MERS-CoV).3,5

Although the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) classified this novel virus as SARS-CoV-2, on February 11, 2020, this novel virus was renamed as the ‘coronavirus disease 2019’ (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO). Worldwide, a total of 71,429 were infected as of February 17, 2020 with 70,635 (98.9%) cases occurring in China. Also, 1,772 deaths were reported in China with a crude mortality of 2.5%.6 Finally, on March 11, 2020, the WHO characterized the COVID-19 as pandemic.7

The first report of outbreak in Iran was on February 20.8 Qom, a city in central Iran near the capital Tehran (the distance between Qom and Tehran is 148 km and about 92 miles), was considered as the first place for the outbreak of COVID-19 in Iran. The infection rapidly disseminated to all provinces of Iran within 15 days.

A similar situation as Iran occurred in Italy, which is in Europe. The first case of COVID-19 was reported on January 3,9 and the rapid spread of contagion in Italy after February 21 was considerable. Iran and Italy faced with an unexpected situation with rapid spread of contagion.

In this study, we aimed to conduct a global comparison according to incidence and mortality rates and identifying the dates with considerable mutation in the trend of incidence and mortality rates. Similarly, due to the large outbreak in Iran and Italy, we aimed to make a comparison...
between China, Iran and Italy and the world according to incidence rate and mortality rates until March 9, 2020.

Material and Methods
Data Source
We used the data that was collected and disseminated by the WHO about the coronavirus regarding confirmed, recovered, and death cases. The data reported from January 22, 2020 is updated daily and available on the RamiKrispin dataset.\textsuperscript{10}

Cumulative Incidence and Mortality Rate until March 9, 2020
For the global comparison of incidence rate and mortality rate, we divided the world into five regions according to the WHO.\textsuperscript{2} We excluded China as it was the origin of the virus and an outlier.

Incidence and mortality rate of the five regions were compared. Also, the relationship between Human Development Index (HDI) and incidence and mortality rate were measured. HDI is a statistic composite index of life expectancy, education, and per capita income indicators, which are used to rank countries based on human development. A country scores a higher HDI when the lifespan, education level and gross national income per capita are higher.\textsuperscript{11}

Trend Analysis
The global trend of incidence, mortality rate and the critical days with an increase or decrease in trend were identified. The same process was performed to assess the trend of incidence and mortality rate in Italy and China. In order to obtain further information, the data pertaining to China was omitted from the global data and the analysis was repeated.

Another comparison was made using the data of the first 20 days from global reports, China and Iran. Truncating the other information made it possible to compare the trend between Iran and China and the world – the 20 days for Iran were reported until March 9.

Statistical Analysis
The relationship between continuous data was assessed using Spearman correlation. To compare the incidence and mortality rates, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Trends analysis were performed using change point analysis. ‘Change-point analysis’ is a technique to assess the critical and multiple change-points over the long-term population and health trends. The term ‘change-point’ describes the time at which a change began to occur. However, in long period data, we can have more clear pattern and time shifts.\textsuperscript{12} All analyses were performed using the SPSS 22 and Joinpoint software. \textit{P} values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results
A total of 63 countries were included in the study. Countries with fewer than two reported cases and incomplete death information were omitted. The highest incidence and mortality rates after China pertained to San Marino and the Philippines, respectively, and the lowest ranks belonged to Indonesia and the Netherlands, respectively.

Global Overview of COVID-19 Incidence and Mortality Rates
As mentioned before, the whole world was divided into five regions. Then, the incidence and mortality rates were compared according to these five regions. The lowest and highest mortality rates were observed in the European region and the Western Pacific Region, respectively. Likewise, the lowest and highest incidence rates were reported from the European region and Africa, respectively.

Comparison according to incidence showed a significant statistical difference (\textit{P} value = 0.015). Accordingly, on ‘two by two’ comparison, the incidence in the European region vs. the African region (44.17 per 1,000,000 vs. 0.25 per 1,000,000, \textit{P} value = 0.025), the European region vs. the Americas (44.17 per 1,000,000 vs. 0.67 per 1,000,000, \textit{P} value = 0.008) and the European region vs. the South-East Asian region (44.17 per 1,000,000 vs. 1.36 per 1,000,000, \textit{P} value = 0.035) were statistically different (Table 1).

The HDI of each country was used according to the WHO reports. Incidence differed significantly between countries with different HDI (\textit{r} = 0.70, \textit{P} value ≤0.001); with increasing HDI, incidence was raised. However, we did not find any significant correlation between this index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Incidence Per 1 000 000</th>
<th>Mortality Rate Per 1 000 000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region of the Americas</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-East Asia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Pacific</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Global Overview of Incidence and Mortality Rate
and mortality rate ($r = 0.11$, $P$ value = 0.403).

**Trend of Incidence and Change Points over Time**

**Trend of Incidence in China, Italy and Globally**

The first COVID-19 case was reported in China on January 22, 2020. The first reports from Italy and Iran came on January 31, and February 20, respectively. However, the information of only two days was reported from Italy during the first 20 days.

First, based on the global analysis, there was a significant global trend ($P$ value < 0.001) during the first 14 days, estimating 315 further new cases every. From the 15th to 35th day, the number of new cases per day was significantly lower compared with the previous day ($P$ value < 0.001). New cases per each day decreased by about 148 compared to the previous day. Then on the 36th day, a significant increase occurred again ($P$ value < 0.001) although the new cases in each day were lower compared to the first 20 days (about 227 further new cases each day) (Figure 1A).

The trend of incidence in China, like the rest of the globe, increased significantly ($P$ value < 0.001). However new cases added were lower compared to the previous day (about 150 cases). Then, for the rest of the days, there was a significant descending slope ($P$ value < 0.001) with an estimation of -207 (Figure 1B). In other words, on average, the new cases would diminish by 207 compared to new cases of every previous day. In the next step of our analysis, China, as an outlier, was omitted from the global analysis and the results showed only one change point. Although a significant increasing trend was detected, the number of further new cases reported every day was about 8 during the first 35 days which then increased to about 303 (both $P$ value < 0.001). As for Italy, we analyzed from the first day of the outbreak until April 9 due to lack of data for the first 20 days in Italy. Italy had a statistically significant ascending slope but with two change points. During the first 27 days, no significant trend was detected, but on the 28th day, there was a change point which showed a significant positive trend. The results showed that each day, about 63 new cases would be added ($P$ value < 0.001). A remarkable change occurred on the 36th day which showed a large outbreak. An ascending trend ensued with a steeper slope ($P$ value < 0.001) compared to the previous days. The estimation showed that on average, 286 new cases would be added every day to the previous new cases (Figure 1C).

**Comparing Incidence Trend in the First 20 Days in China, Iran and Globally**

In the first 7 days of the outbreak in Iran, there was no significant trend but from the 8th day, a significant ascending trend occurred ($P$ value < 0.001). The estimated slope showed that about 78 new cases would be added per day (Figure 1D). The change point analysis showed a positive significant trend with a no change point in China (195 further cases per day, $P$ value < 0.001) and the world

![Figure 1. (A) Global, (B) Chinese, (C) Italian and (D) Iranian incidence trend until March 9.](image-url)
Figure 2. (A) Global and (B) Chinese Incidence Trend in the First 20 Days of Outbreak.

Figure 3. (A) Global, (B) Chinese and (C) Italian mortality trend until March 9.

Trend of Mortality in China, Italy and the Globe
The change point analysis of mortality was the next step of analysis. The information of mortality in the world estimated the addition of approximately six new deaths per day until day 24 ($P$ value < 0.001). After that, the slope showed a significant descending trend with the estimation of approximately 5 ($P$ value = 0.002) until the 42nd day of the outbreak. From the 43rd day (the next change point), suddenly a positive significant trend occurred (almost 25 new deaths were added per day $P$ value < 0.001). Estimations demonstrated further mortality compared to the first ascending interval (25 added new deaths per day) ($P$ value < 0.001). Similar to the global mortality, China had an ascending trend until the 24th day (6 new deaths per day, $P$ value < 0.001) and following the trend, suddenly there was a significant decline in trend (5 fewer new deaths per day, $P$ value < 0.001) emerged and continued until the last day (Figure 3B). Although there were two trend change points in Italy (Figure 3C), there was an ascending trend from the beginning of the outbreak to the last day. In the first 30 days, it was estimated that each day about 1 death occurred more than the previous day ($P$ value = 0.001). After that period, from the 31st to the 36th day, the estimation increased to almost 8 cases ($P$ value < 0.001).

Comparing Mortality Trend in the First 20 Days in Iran and the Globe
There was a significant increasing trend in the globe ($P$ value < 0.001) (Figure 4). Five new cases per day were expected in Iran similar to the global estimate. There was an ascending significant trend, but on the 17th day, there was a change point which showed that the expected further new cases were increased. The results illustrated that until the 17th day, approximately 1 new more death would occur (197 further cases per day, $P$ value < 0.001) (Figure 2A and 2B). The results showed a sharp increase in mortality after day 36. We estimated about 40 more new deaths until the last day ($P$ value < 0.001).
in the next day, while the estimation for the time after the 17th day was about 12 further new deaths (Figure 5).

The global mortality during the first 20 outbreak days was almost similar to China. Thus, the results of China were not reported.

Discussion

This study provides an overview of incidence and mortality rate patterns on the global level with special attention to Iran, Italy and China as the countries most involved with COVID-19.

The WHO has published the data since January 22, 2020 and it is updated on a daily basis. We used this data until March 9, almost three months after the first report of the outbreak in China. Finally, on March 11, the WHO announced that COVID-19 can be characterized as ‘pandemic’. This announcement shows the importance of the global investigation of incidence and mortality. Nevertheless, we did not find any statistical difference in mortality rates by regions while a significant variety of incidence was found in different regions. These results show that although some risk factors such as regional characteristics could affect incidence, the risk factors associated with mortality are not related to the region, and genetic parameters might have affected mortality.

On the other hand, analyzing the correlation between HDI index and incidence confirms that countries with high development scores would experience a high incidence rate of COVID-19. This finding might be explained by the fact that developed countries have better access to diagnostic methods for rapid diagnosis, registration and reports. For example, as of March 9, there has been no report from countries such as Syria or some poor African countries like Somalia. It confirms that these countries do not have the facilities or even a stable government to check and test patients and report to the WHO. In addition, one cannot overlook the fact that developed countries are more connected to other countries due to greater trade, tourism, etc. These communications may result in easier and faster spread of the virus in these countries, as the virus spread rapidly across China during the first days of outbreak, even with quarantine in effect. There was not any significant correlation between HDI and mortality rate, suggesting that developed countries were not safe from mortality and advanced treatments were not effective.

Two other previous versions of the coronavirus that affected the world were the SARS-CoV and the MERS-CoV. SARS-CoV was identified in 2003 and first infected humans in the Guangdong province of southern China in 2002.13 The MERS-CoV infection was first reported in September 2012 in Saudi Arabia, but an outbreak in Jordan in April 2012 was confirmed retrospectively.14 There is a paucity of data on the incidence of SARS and MERS in the literature. It seems that the incidence of these two coronaviruses was not as important as that of COVID-19 because neither of them became pandemic as COVID-19 did.

Following southern China, SARS occurred in Toronto in Canada, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China, Chinese Taipei, Singapore, and Hanoi in Vietnam. The most reported cases of MERS after the Arabian Peninsula were in the Republic of Korea in 2015. Cases were also confirmed in countries throughout Europe, Asia, North Africa, the Middle East, and the United States.6

While the incidence of SARS and MERS were lower than COVID-19, the mortality rate of COVID-19 has been considerably lower than them. According to the WHO reports, the mortality rates of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV were about 9.6% and 36%, respectively15,16 while it is about 3% For COVID-19.

COVID-19 is pandemic and it seems that the rapid contagious spread of this virus makes it an emergency to study the incidence rates and make comparisons between different regions of the world. Although COVID-19 is more widespread and needs more attention in different regions, there are some studies that have investigated mortality and some risk factors associated with mortality in SARS and MERS in specific countries.14 For example, one study investigated if the infection control measures...
managed to control the increasing outbreak on day 23. This highlights the importance of quarantine plans in controlling this virus at the right time.
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